

#9
12-12-02
C. Hall

LADAS & PARRY
FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90036-5679
Telephone: (323) 934-2300
Facsimile: (323) 934-0202

DELIVER TO: Examiner Luat Huynh

COMPANY: US Patent and Trademark Office.

FAX NUMBER: (703)746-7239

FROM: Ladas & Parry / Sarah Buchanan

DATE: November 27, 2002

TOTAL NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE): 2

SUBJECT: Interview Summary



Dear Examiner Huynh :

Please see the enclosed Interview Summary (1 page) for application number 09/528,524.

Very truly yours,

LADAS & PARRY

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential or privileged information from the law firm of Ladas & Parry. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you

*If transmission incomplete, please call (323) 934-2300 and
ask for the operator named above.*

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICEPATENT

Application No.: 09/528,524) Date: November 27, 2002
Applicant: Jacques Michelot, et al.) Examiner: Luat Huynh
Filed: March 20, 2000) Group Art Unit 2121
For: "Hardware Monitoring Process ...") Our Ref: B-3881
(617765-1/RPB)

Re: **Interview Summary**Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231Interview Summary

Sir:

On October 3, 2002, a telephone interview was held between Examiner Huynh and agent for the applicant Sarah Buchanan.

During the interview, Applicant's respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider the arguments in favor of patentability of claims 1, 6 and 15 submitted by the Applicant on August 14, 2002. Specifically, Applicant's requested that the Examiner explain where in the prior art On Screen Display (OSD) capability is taught disclosed or suggested.

At the end of the interview, the Examiner requested a few days to think about the arguments presented and discuss the case with his supervisor.

Subsequent to the interview on October 23, 2002, the Examiner stated, during a telephone call to the undersigned, that he would withdraw the final Office Action and would issue a second non-final Office Action. Therefore, the Applicants understand that there is no current outstanding Office Action requiring a response by the Applicants.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being
facsimile transmitted to The United States Patent &
Trademark Office, Fax. No. (703) 746-7239 on.

Respectfully submitted,

November 27, 2002
(Date of Deposit)

Sarah M. Buchanan
(Name of Person Depositing)

Sarah M. Buchanan
(Signature)

Nov. 27, 2002
(Date)

Sarah M. Buchanan
Sarah M. Buchanan
Agent for Applicant
Reg. No. 51,506
LADAS & PARRY
5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2100
Los Angeles, CA 90036
(323) 934-2300